

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/623,936	07/21/2003	James R. Keene	5-904	6475	
707	7590 10/01/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
DAVID A. BURGE CO, L.P.A.			CANFIELD, ROBERT		
	I PARK BOULEVARD D, OH 44120-1842		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3635	3635	

DATE MAILED: 10/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Cummen	10/623,936	KEENE, JAMES F	2
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Robert J Canfield	3635	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 J	luly 2003.		
· _ · · _ ·	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under	•		merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	own from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 10 February 2004 is/ar Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	re: a) \square accepted or b) \square objected or by accepted or by accepted or by acceptance. See stion is required if the drawing(s) is objection is required.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	
 Notice of Profisperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite)-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/623,936 Page 2

Art Unit: 3635

1. This is a first Office action on the merits for application serial number 10/623936 filed 07/21/03. Claims 1-18 are pending.

- 2. The examiner acknowledges receipt of the IDS filed 07/21/03 and receipt of the supplemental IDS filed 12/24/03. Initialed copies of the 1449 forms are attached.
- 3. The drawings field 02/10/04 are accepted.
- 4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 1-10 and 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 3,500,618 to Sokol.

Sokol provides in figure 1 a trapezoidal shaped thermoplastic fibrous mat formed of thermoplastic filaments.

The recitation "a weep vent" has not been given patentable weight because the recitation occurs in the preamble. A preamble is generally not accorded any patentable weight where it merely recites the purpose of a process or the intended use of a structure, and where the body of the claim does not depend on the preamble for completeness but, instead, the process steps or structural limitations are able to stand

Application/Control Number: 10/623,936

Art Unit: 3635

alone. See *In re Hirao*, 535 F.2d 67, 190 USPQ 15 (CCPA 1976) and *Kropa v. Robie*, 187 F.2d 150, 152, 88 USPQ 478, 481 (CCPA 1951).

All recitations towards the wall structure are intended use. Recitations of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. See *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

6. Claims 1-10 and 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 3,468,096 to Franz.

Franz provides in figure 3 a trapezoidal shaped thermoplastic fibrous mat formed of thermoplastic filaments.

The recitation "a weep vent" has not been given patentable weight because the recitation occurs in the preamble. A preamble is generally not accorded any patentable weight where it merely recites the purpose of a process or the intended use of a structure, and where the body of the claim does not depend on the preamble for completeness but, instead, the process steps or structural limitations are able to stand alone. See *In re Hirao*, 535 F.2d 67, 190 USPQ 15 (CCPA 1976) and *Kropa v. Robie*, 187 F.2d 150, 152, 88 USPQ 478, 481 (CCPA 1951).

Application/Control Number: 10/623,936 Page 4

Art Unit: 3635

All recitations towards the wall structure are intended use. Recitations of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. See *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

7. Claims 1-16 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 6,112,476 to Schulenburg.

Element 30 has a wider end 22 and a narrower end at approximately 21. As to claims 1-10 and 18 all references to the wall are made with intended use language and while not shown element 30 is capable of performing as claimed. A to claims 11-14, the element is "associated with" a masonry wall.

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

McClain is similar to Franz.

Sourlis teaches filament members 30 which may be trapezoidal.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert J Canfield whose telephone number is 703-308-2482. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Friedman can be reached on 703-308-0839. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert J Canfield Primary Examiner

09/24/04